IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1120 OF 2006.
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1026 OF 2006.

Jayashree @ Malan Shankar Bagal. ... Applicant.
Versus.
State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Shri M.A.Patil for the Applicant.

Shri D.P.Adsule, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 24th November, 2006.

P.C.:

1. The Applicant is Accused No.3 who has been convicted for offence under section 304B and section 498A read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The Applicant was admittedly on bail throughout the trial. There is no allegation of misuse of liberty granted to the Applicant during the pendency of the trial.

2. I have perused the notes of evidence. The allegations against the Accused No.1 (husband of the deceased) appear to be of very serious nature. Considering the nature of allegations made against the Applicant and the fact that the Applicant is a woman who was on bail all throughout the trial, she deserves to be enlarged on bail subject to stringent conditions.

3. Hence the Application is disposed of by the following order:

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on bail in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

ii) Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to Sangola Police Station, District Solapur, on every first Saturday of every calender month till disposal of the Appeal.

Judge.